Interview Summary

Application No. 09/454,740

Applicant(s)

Hillebrand et al.

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit **1634**



	(2)
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	
(2) Ted Gottlieb	
Date of Interview May 28, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach	ned. g) was not reached. h) N/A. eral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments:	
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen	
any other comments:	
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am	
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	nding claims.
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} is not necessary for applicant to provide a set Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH I	nendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\begin{align*}	nendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is eparate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\begin{align*}	nendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is eparate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pen (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} it is not necessary for applicant to provide a set Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH I	nendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is eparate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE